this property is the ability of the substituent to interact by resonance (overlap) and, in particular, by electron donation. The most reasonable acceptor of this electron back-donation would seem to be a $p\pi$ -d π bond as in resonance form 3, but a number of other explanations are possible and these are being actively explored.

Experimental Section

Phospha- λ^5 -azenes 1a-e were made by the Staudinger reaction using the appropriate azide and triphenylphosphine¹³⁻¹⁵ and 1f was made by the method of Horner.^{15,16} The properties matched those reported. The NMR spectra were obtained on a Nicolet NT-200 wide bore spectrometer with a 4.7 T superconducting solenoid. ³¹P spectra were taken at 80.99 MHz using an external 85% H₃PO₄ standard. The spectra were taken in CDCl₃ (12-mm tubes) at concentrations of 300 mg/3 mL, 200 mg/3 mL, 100 mg/3 mL, and 30 mg/3 mL and extrapolated to infinite dilution. Two level broad band proton decoupling was employed with a pulse angle of approximately 90° and a post acquisition delay of 1 s. Natural-abundance ¹⁵N spectra were obtained at 20.28 MHz using gated broad band proton decoupling (decoupler on during acquisiton), a pulse angle of about 23°, and a post acquisition delay of 6 s. Samples were about 1.3–1.8 M in CDCl_3 and 20-mm tubes were employed. ¹⁵N chemical shifts were measured using an external $K^{15}NO_3$ solution in H_2O which had been standardized against neat CH₃NO₂. The shifts relative to liquid NH₃ were calculated by using $\delta(NH_3) = \delta(CH_3NO_2) + 380.23.7$

Acknowledgment. We thank the Robert A. Welch Foundation (Grant No. Y-684), The University of Texas at Arlington–Organized Research Fund, and the National Science Foundation (Grant Nos. CDP-80075014 and PRM-8108132) for support of this work. We also thank Mr. Michael Morgan for help with several NMR spectra and Professors K. L. Brown and D. S. Marynick for helpful discussions.

Registry No. 1a, 14562-02-8; 1b, 31641-65-3; 1c, 2325-27-1; 1d, 2327-67-5; 1e, 14796-89-5; 1f, 77116-68-8; 2a, 100-01-6; 2b, 106-47-8; 2c, 62-53-3; 2d, 106-49-0; 2e, 104-94-9; 2f, 99-98-9.

(14) Gololobov, Y. G.; Zhmurova, I. N.; Kasukhin, L. F. Tetrahedron
1981, 37, 437.
(15) Wiegräbe, W.; Bock, H. Chem. Ber. 1968, 101, 1414.

(17) Actually $\sigma_{\rm P}^{-}$ for R = NO₂ and, since σ^{-} is the same as σ for the other substituents which cannot accept an electron pair by resonance, $\sigma_{\rm P}$ for the other substituents was used.

Coupling Reactions of α -Halo Esters with Allyland Acetonyltin Reagents. An Improved Synthesis of α -Acetonyl- γ -butyrolactone

James H. Simpson and J. K. Stille*

Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523

Received September 20, 1984

The palladium-catalyzed coupling reactions of organic electrophiles with organotin reagents provide a convenient, high yield pathway for carbon-carbon bond formation. The coupling reactions of acyl chlorides,¹ allyl chlorides and bromides,² and vinyl triflates³ as the electrophilic partner take place with a wide variety of tin reagents under conditions that are mild enough that diverse functionality is acceptable in both coupling partners. Generally, in the reactions where an sp³ carbon undergoes oxidative addition, β -hydrogens on an sp³ carbon cannot be present in the electrophile but can be present in the organotin partner. This is because the product of oxidative addition with the electrophile is able to undergo β -hydride elimination.⁴ Apparently the diorganopalladium(II) complex can have a β -hydrogen because the transmetalation step which follows oxidative addition of the electrophile to a palladium(0) complex is slow, while the 1,1-reductive elimination which follows the transmetalation is fast.⁵ Surprisingly, however, α -bromo- γ -butyrolactone which possesses β -hydrogens was observed to cross-couple in good yield.

Coupling reactions of organotin reagents with α -halo esters have been reported using free radical conditions. However, high temperatures were required and only moderate yields were reported.⁶ Milder reaction conditions and a greater variety of coupling partners might be expected when palladium catalysts are used, however. Consequently, it appeared to be worthwhile to attempt the coupling reaction of α -halo esters with various organotin reagents in the presence of a palladium catalyst.

The coupling reactions of α -bromo- and α -iodo- γ butyrolactone with allyltin reagents and tributylacetonyltin were carried out under different conditions to give high yields of coupled products (Table I). Only moderate yields could be obtained with α -bromoacetate esters, even at higher reaction temperatures. Much shorter reaction

$$0 \rightarrow X + RSnR'_{3} \xrightarrow{Pd(II)} 0 \rightarrow R + XSnR'_{3}$$

times were required when dibutyldiallyltin was used rather than tributylallyltin. The reaction with acetonyltin required higher temperatures than that with allyltin. Other organotin reagents such as tributylphenyltin, tributylvinyltin, tributyl(2-phenylethyl)tin, and tributylcyanomethyltin gave poor yields of coupled products (<10%) under all conditions studied.

The coupling reaction with α -iodo- γ -butyrolactone appeared to proceed primarily through a radical mechanism since both galvinoxyl and 1,4-cyclohexadiene completely stopped the 30 °C reaction with tributylallyltin while the 50 °C reaction with tributylacetonyltin was slightly supressed. In both cases, in the absence of the palladium catalyst, the reaction still proceeded to some extent. Similar results are also observed for the coupling of α -iodo- γ -butyrolactone with tributylacetonyltin. The coupling was slightly supressed in the presence of galvinoxyl but also took place in the absence of the palladium catalyst. The coupling of α -bromo- γ -butyrolactone with the acetonyltin reagent did not take place in the absence of the palladium catalyst, and it was not stopped by galvi-

⁽¹³⁾ Staudinger, H.; Hauser, E. Helv. Chim. Acta 1921, 4, 861.

 ⁽¹⁶⁾ Wiegrade, W.; Bock, H. Chem. Ber. 1566, 101, 1414.
 (16) Horner, L.; Oedinger, H. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1959, 627, 142.

 ^{(1) (}a) Milstein, D.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 4992. (b) Milstein, D.; Stille, J. K. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 1613. (c) Labadie, J. W.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 669. (d) Labadie, J. W.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 103, 6129. (e) Labadie, J. W.; Tueting, D.; Stille, J. K. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4634. (f) Soderquist, J. A.; Leong, W. W.-H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 2361.

^{(2) (}a) Godschalx, J. P; Stille, J. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 2599.
(b) Sheffy, F. K.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 7173. (c) Sheffy, F. K.; Godschalx, J. P.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 4833.

⁽³⁾ Scott, W. J.; Crisp, G. T.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 4630.

⁽⁴⁾ Yamamoto, A.; Morifuji, K.; Ikeda, S.; Saito, T.; Uchida, Y.; Misono, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 1878.

⁽⁵⁾ Moravskiy, A.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4182.
(6) (a) Grianon, J.; Servens, C.; Pereyre, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 96, 225. (b) Migita, T.; Nagai, K.; Kosugi, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1983, 56, 2480.

| Table | e I. | Coupling | Reactions o | of Allyl- | and | Acetonyltin | Reagents ^a |
|-------|------|----------|-------------|-----------|-----|-------------|-----------------------|
|-------|------|----------|-------------|-----------|-----|-------------|-----------------------|

| | | allyltin reagent | conditions | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|--|------------|--|---|---|
| ester | Х | | T, °C | time, h | solvent | isolated yield, % |
| of x | I | <i>n</i> -Bu ₃ SnCH ₂ CH=CH ₂ | 30 | $\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 45\\ 45\end{array}$ | THF | 97 0 ^{b,c} 54 ^d |
| | | n-Bu ₂ Sn(CH ₂ CH=CH ₂), | 30 | 1 | THF | 87 |
| | Br | <i>n</i> -Bu ₃ SnCH ₂ CO—CH ₃ | 50 | 12 | THF | 73 35 ^b 0 ^d |
| | I | | 50 | 12 | THF | 82 72 ^b 81 ^d |
| XCH ₂ CO ₂ Et | Br | $n-Bu_3SnCH_2CH=CH_2$ | 50 100 | 18 13 | THF C.H.CH. | 0 |
| | | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{2}\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2})_{2}$ | 90 100 | $24 \\ 6$ | C ₆ H ₅ CH ₃ | 38 67 |
| | | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3}\mathrm{SnCH}_{2}\mathrm{CO-CH}_{3}$ | 100 50 | 9 48 | C,H,CH3 THF | 41 21 |

^{*a*} Reactions were catalyzed with 1 mol % bis(triphenylphosphino)dichloropalladium(II) based on the halo ester. ^{*b*} 1 mol % galvinoxyl based on the halo ester was added. ^{*c*} The same result was obtained when 1 mol % of 1,4-cyclohexadiene based on the halo ester was added. ^{*d*} The palladium catalyst was omitted.

noxyl. Thus, it appears to proceed primarily via a palladium-catalyzed mechanism.

This coupling reaction with the acetonyltin reagent represents a much improved procedure for the synthesis of α -acetonyl- γ -butyrolactone,⁷ which had previously been obtained by the thiazolium salt catalyzed addition of acetaldehyde to α -methylene- γ -butyrolactone.

Experimental Section

 α -Acetonyl- γ -butyrolactone. To a dry Schlenk tube under nitrogen was added 35.1 mg (0.0501 mmol) of dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II), 0.825 g (5.00 mmol) of α -bromo- γ -butyrolactone, and 5.0 mL of dry tetrahydrofuran (distilled from sodium/benzophenone). After mixture was stirred for 15 min at 50 °C, 2.87 g (7.50 mmol) of acetonyltributyltin⁹ was added to the yellow suspension. The resulting homogeneous yellow solution was stirred for 12 h at 50 °C and cooled to room temperature, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was dissolved in 25 mL of acetonitrile and washed with hexane $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. Removal of the acetonitrile gave an oil which was further purified by radial chromatography (chromatotron,¹⁰ 50% ethyl acetate/hexane). Bulb-to-bulb distillation (64-70 °C (0.1 mmHg) [lit.⁸ 102 °C (0.25 mm Hg)] yielded 0.515 g (73%) of α -acetonyl- γ -butyrolactone: ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) § 1.86–2.02 (m, 2 H), 2.21 (s, 3 H), 2.48–2.59 (m, 2 H), 2.69 (dd, J = 8, 18 Hz, 1 H), 2.90-2.98 (m, 1 H), 3.08 (dd, J = 3, 18)Hz, 1 H), 4.23 (dt, J = 7, 10 Hz, 1 H), 4.40 (dt, J = 2, 9 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, CDCl₃) 28.6, 29.7, 34.9, 43.5, 66.4, 178.5, 205.1; IR (neat) 1760, 1705 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum, m/z (relative intensity) 142 (M⁺, 0.7).

The same procedure was used for the reaction of α -iodo- γ butyrolactone.11

 α -Allyl- γ -butyrolactone. A similar reaction procedure was employed for the coupling of tributylallyltin¹² with the α -halo- γ -butyrolactones. After partitioning between acetonitrile and hexane, the resulting oil was further purified by radial chromatography using (40% ethyl acetate/hexane). Bulb-to-bulb dis-tillation (20 °C (0.025 mmHg) [lit.¹³ bp 90 °C (7 mmHg)] gave the desired product:¹³ ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.87-2.12

(m, 1 H), 2.12-2.51 (m, 2 H), 2.51-2.78 (m, 2 H), 4.15-4.39 (m, 2 H), 5.07-5.21 (m,2 H), 5.68-5.92 (m, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, CDCl₃) 27.7, 34.2, 38.7, 66.3, 117.4, 134.4, 178.4; IR (neat) 3078, 1766, 1641 cm⁻¹.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by Grant CHR-8305468 from the National Science Foundation. The palladium chloride was provided under the Johnson-Matthey Metal Loan Program.

Bu₃SnCH₂COCH₃, 14583-98-3; Registry No. Bu₃SnCH₂CH=CH₂, 24850-33-7; Bu₃Sn(CH₂)₂Ph, 14775-15-6; $Bu_3Sn(CH_2)CN$, 17729-59-8; $Ph(CH_2)_3CO_2Et$, 10031-93-3; Bu₃SnPh, 960-16-7; Bu₃SnCH=CH₂, 7486-35-3; PhCH₂CO₂Et, 101-97-3; CH2=CHCH2CO2Et, 1617-18-1; NC(CH2)2CO2Et, 10137-67-4; α -bromo- γ -butyrolactone, 5061-21-2; α -phenyl- γ butyrolactone, 6836-98-2; galvinoxyl, 2370-18-5; 1,4-cyclohexadiene, 628-41-1; α -acetonyl- γ -butyrolactone, 71385-84-7; α -iodo- γ butyrolactone, 31167-92-7; α -allyl- γ -butyrolactone, 10491-63-1; α -vinyl- γ -butyrolactone, 43142-60-5; α -(2-phenylethyl)- γ butyrolactone, 3454-79-3; α -(cyanomethyl)- γ -butyrolactone, 932-48-9.

Synthesis of Chrysene, 5-Substituted Chrysenes, and Chrysene Derivatives via Intramolecular **Cycloaddition Reactions**

Louis A. Levy* and V. P. Sashikumar

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Laboratory of Molecular Biophysics, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709

Received July 11, 1984

The chemistry of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons has been of continuing interest due to their widespread environmental distribution and the causal relationship between exposure and tumor production. An often-studied representative class of these compounds is the chrysene family. While chrysene is itself only weakly biologically active, 5-substituted chrysenes are much more potent in assays for mutagenic and carcinogenic activity.¹

Several approaches to the synthesis of this class of compounds have been reported recently.² Our success

^{(7) (}a) Lloyd, R. A.; Miller, C. W.; Roberts, D. L.; Giles, J. A.; Dickerson, J. P.; Nelson, N. H.; Rix, C. E.; Ayers, P. H. Tob. Int. 1976, 20, 125.
(b) Sakuma, H.; Sugawara, S. Agric. Biol. Chem. 1979, 43, 1585.

⁽⁸⁾ Stetter, H.; Basse, W.; Nienhaus, J. Chem. Ber. 1980, 113, 690. (9) Pereyre, M.; Bellegarde, B.; Mendelsohn, J.; Valade, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1968, 11, 97

⁽¹⁰⁾ Harrison Research, 840 Moana Ct., Palo Alto, CA

Daremon, C.; Rambaud, R. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1971, 294.
 Daude, G.; Ereyre, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 190, 43.
 Meyers, A. I.; Yamamoto, Y.; Mihelich, E. D.; Bell, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 2792.

⁽¹⁾ Hecht, S. S.; Loy, M.; Hoffmann, D. "Carcinogenesis" Frendenthal, R., Jones, P. W., Eds.; Raven Press: New York, 1976; Vol. 1, pp 325-340.